UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/532,912	04/27/2005	Giuseppe Montalbano	FR030009 US	4943
25235 HOGAN & HA	7590 06/08/200 RTSON LLP	EXAMINER		
	CENTER, SUITE 1500)	TIMORY, KABIR A	
DENVER, CO	· -		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2611	
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			06/08/2009	ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

patentcolorado@hhlaw.com

Office Action Summary		Aı	pplication No.	Applicant(s)	Applicant(s)			
		1	0/532,912	MONTALBANO,	MONTALBANO, GIUSEPPE			
		E	caminer	Art Unit				
			ABIR A. TIMORY	2611				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this commu or Reply	nication appear	s on the cover sheet w	with the correspondence a	ddress			
WHIC - Exte after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MINISTRATE IN SIZE OF THE MONTHS from the mailing date of this come of period for reply is specified above, the maximum is the toreply within the set or extended period for reply reply received by the Office later than three months and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	MAILING DATE s of 37 CFR 1.136(a) munication. tatutory period will ap y will, by statute, caus	OF THIS COMMUN. In no event, however, may a sply and will expire SIX (6) MOse the application to become a	ICATION. A reply be timely filed DNTHS from the mailing date of this ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status								
1) 又	Responsive to communication(s) file	ed on 19 Marci	h 2009					
2a)□	•		ion is non-final.					
3)		<i>7</i> —		tters prosecution as to th	e merits is			
٠/١	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
	·	ioo anaon Ex p	arte Quayre, 1000 c.	2. 11, 100 0.0.210.				
Disposit	ion of Claims							
4)🛛	Claim(s) <u>1-17 and 19</u> is/are pending in the application.							
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5)🛛	Claim(s) <u>3-7 and 9-14</u> is/are allowed.							
6)🖂	Claim(s) 1,15 and 19 is/are rejected	d.						
7)🛛	Claim(s) 2,8,16 and 17 is/are object	ted to.						
8)□	Claim(s) are subject to restri	ction and/or ele	ection requirement.					
Applicat	ion Papers							
9)□	The specification is objected to by the	ne Examiner.						
-	The drawing(s) filed on is/are		ed or b) Objected to	by the Examiner.				
/	Applicant may not request that any obje	-	· · · · · · ·	-				
					CFR 1 121(d)			
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.								
	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119							
	_		"	0.440(.)(1) (0)				
	Acknowledgment is made of a claim	for foreign pric	ority under 35 U.S.C.	§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).				
a)	☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:							
	1. Certified copies of the priority							
	2. Certified copies of the priority							
	3. Copies of the certified copies	•		n received in this Nationa	I Stage			
	application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).							
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.								
Attachmen								
	e of References Cited (PTO-892)			Summary (PTO-413)				
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Paper No(s)/Mail Date Notice of Informal Patent Application								
	rr No(s)/Mail Date		6) Other: _					

Art Unit: 2611

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Arguments

- This office action is in response to the amendment filed on 03/19/2009. Claims
 1-17 and 19 are pending in this application and have been considered below. Claim 18 is cancelled by the applicant.
- 2. The objections to the claims are corrected by the amendment. Therefore, the objections are withdrawn.
- 3. The rejection under 35 USC 112 2nd paragraph to claims 15-18 is corrected by the amendment. Therefore, the rejection is withdrawn.
- 4. The rejection under 35 USC 101 to claims 1-14 and 19 is corrected by the amendment. Therefore, the rejection is withdrawn.
- 5. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1 and 15 have been considered but are most in view of new ground(s) of rejection.

Art Unit: 2611

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

6. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

7. Claims 1, 15, and 19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Nilsson et al. (US 2003/0099216) in view of Huang et al. (US 6385185).

Regarding claims 1 and 15:

As shown in figures 1-6, Nilsson et al. disclose a method for estimating a propagation channel in a presence of transmit beamforming with a receiver, (abstract, par 0018, lines 1-6) comprising the steps of:

accounting for a structure of two logical channels (CPICH, DPCI-I) and based on a common structure of corresponding propagation channels (abstract, par 0007, lines 1-11, par 0033, lines 1-18), one (DPCH) of said two logical channels comprising two sub-channels (DPDCH, DPCCH) (302 in figure 3).

Nilsson et al. disclose all of the subject matter as described above except for specifically teaching providing channel estimation in a multipath environment to acquire a beamforming complex factor; wherein the providing step comprises modeling said propagation channels in the receiver as a linear superposition of a finite number of discrete multipath components (signal component samples is interpreted to receive a

Art Unit: 2611

finite number of discrete multipath components) (p=1,..., P) following an uncorrelated-scattering wide-sense stationary model, and wherein a multipath component is characterized by a time-varying multipath complex coefficient (Cp (t) and βpCp (t)) and a delay (Tp).

Page 4

However, Huang et al. in the same field of endeavor teach providing channel estimation in a multipath environment to acquire a beamforming complex factor; wherein the providing step comprises modeling said propagation channels in the receiver as a linear superposition of a finite number of discrete multipath components (signal component samples is interpreted to receive a finite number of discrete multipath components) (p=1,..., P) following an uncorrelated-scattering wide-sense stationary model, and wherein a multipath component is characterized by a time-varying multipath complex coefficient (Cp (t) and βpCp (t)) and a delay (Tp) (abstract, col 2, lines 27-67, col 4, lines 1-23, col 5, lines 39-52). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use the channel estimation as taught by Huang et al. to modify the system and method of Nilsson et al. in order to improve the performance of the system.

Regarding claim 19:

Nilsson et al. disclose further disclose a communication system using the method for estimating a propagation channel in the presence of transmit beamforming as claimed in claim 1, when information data are transmitted through a beamforming system (par 0018).

Art Unit: 2611

Allowable Subject Matter

8. Claims 3-7, and 9-13 are allowed.

9. Claims 2, 8, and16-17 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base

claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the

limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

10. The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject

matter:

The prior art of record, Nilsson et al. does not teach or suggest limitations in

claims 2, 3, 16 and 17.

Conclusion

11. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to KABIR A. TIMORY whose telephone number is (571)270-1674. The examiner can normally be reached on 6:30 AM - 3:00 PM Monday-Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Shuwang Liu can be reached on 571-272-3036. The fax phone number for

the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the

Art Unit: 2611

Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Kabir A Timory/

Examiner, Art Unit 2611

/Shuwang Liu/

Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2611